

CAT(0) Groups and Acylindrical Hyperbolicity

Burns Healy

Abstract

In this paper we take a result stating that rank one elements of a CAT(0) group are generalized loxodromics and expand it to show the reverse implication. This gives us, in particular, a complete classification of the intersection of CAT(0) and acylindrically hyperbolic groups, and demonstrates exactly which elements are generalized loxodromics. We go on to apply this classification to the braid groups and $\text{Out}(W_n)$ in order to learn about their potential CAT(0) structures, ruling out the cases of Euclidean Buildings and symmetric spaces.

1 Introduction

As spaces, CAT(0) metric spaces represent the property of having non-positive curvature, while hyperbolicity represents strictly negative curvature. Instead of spaces, though, we will restrict our attention to the groups that act geometrically on them. Generalizations of hyperbolicity, relative hyperbolicity and acylindrical hyperbolicity, relax the strictness of this action. Such a description leads us to the natural question, in what sense can these generalized hyperbolic metric actions also meet our criteria of non-positive curvature? A more direct question: where does the class of CAT(0) groups intersect those which are acylindrically hyperbolic?

This question is already answered in part in [Sis11] and [Sis13], where Sisto gives results which tell us in fact that rank one isometries of CAT(0) groups are generalized loxodromics, meaning in particular they are acylindrically hyperbolic. We go one step further and in fact make the claim these classes of elements completely coincide.

Theorem 2.6 *Let G be a group, which is not virtually cyclic, acting geometrically on a CAT(0) space X . Then G is acylindrically hyperbolic if and only if it contains an element g which acts as a rank one isometry on X .*

This classification will be useful to us in order to help classify types of groups suspected to be CAT(0). We begin by looking at the braid groups. Charney originally posed the question *are all finite type Artin groups CAT(0)?* This is still an open question for the braid groups, although the answer is known for small index. For $n \leq 3$, it is easy to see by looking at the algebraic description of the group. The cases of $n = 4, 5$ was proved by Brady and McCammond in [BM10], and $n = 6$ by Haettel, Kielak, and Schwer in [HKS16].

Our contribution here will be partially classifying the type of CAT(0) space that the braid group can act on, by way of the following result:

Theorem 3.2 *Let $n \geq 4$. The group $\overline{B_n} := B_n/Z(B_n)$ is acylindrically hyperbolic.*

This result is actually already known, proved in a different context for a more general context, in [CW16].

This combines with 2.6 to give us:

Theorem 3.3 *Let B_n be the braid group with $n \geq 4$, and suppose that X is a $CAT(0)$ space on which B_n acts geometrically. Then Y is a rank one $CAT(0)$ space in the natural splitting of $X = Y \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, B_n does not act on a Euclidean Building.*

For more information about $CAT(0)$ buildings, the reader is referred to [Dav98]. The fact that these two classes of $CAT(0)$ spaces are disjoint is explored at length in [BB08]. In particular, in all Euclidean buildings and symmetric spaces, any bi-infinite geodesic bounds a half-flat.

There has also been some interest in whether the automorphism group of universal right angled Coxeter groups admit a geometric action on a $CAT(0)$ space, in particular in [Cun15]. Using a similar method that shows the acylindrical hyperbolicity of $\text{Out}(F_n)$, we get the following.

Theorem 4.6 *$\text{Out}(W_n)$ is acylindrically hyperbolic for $n \geq 4$.*

Then, in the same way as braid groups, we obtain a relevant $CAT(0)$ result as a corollary.

Theorem 4.7 *Suppose $\text{Out}(W_n)$ acts geometrically on X a $CAT(0)$ space. Then X contains a rank one geodesic. In particular, $\text{Out}(W_n)$ cannot act geometrically on a Euclidean Building.*

The author would like to thank his Ph.D. advisor, Genevieve Walsh, for her continued support, as well as Kim Ruane and Adam Piggott for helpful suggestions and comments.

2 A Classification

We begin with some definitions.

Definition 2.1. An metric space action $G \curvearrowright S$ is called *acylindrical* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $R, N > 0$ such that for any two points $x, y \in S$ such that $d(x, y) \geq R$, the set

$$\{g \in G \mid d(x, g.x) \leq \epsilon, d(y, g.y) \leq \epsilon\}$$

has cardinality less than N .

We quickly note here that this property does not imply properness. It's easy to see that this still allows individual points to have infinite stabilizers.

Definition 2.2. A group G is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if it admits an acylindrical action on a hyperbolic space X which is not elementary, that is, has a limit set inside the boundary of the space X of cardinality strictly greater than 2.

It's worth pointing out that this means we do not wish to consider groups which are finite or virtually cyclic as being acylindrically hyperbolic, despite the fact that they are (elementary) hyperbolic. These are the only hyperbolic groups we exclude, as any

non-elementary hyperbolic group will satisfy this requirement by the natural action on a Cayley Graph.

Fact 2.3. For any acylindrical group action on a hyperbolic space, no elements act as parabolics. This means for such an action, the effect of any particular group element is either loxodromic or elliptic.

Definition 2.4. Let G be an acylindrically hyperbolic group. An element $g \in G$ is called a *generalized loxodromic* if there's an acylindrical action $G \curvearrowright S$ for S hyperbolic such that g acts as a loxodromic.

The status of being a generalized loxodromic is a *group theoretic* property. While one qualifying action might have a particular element acting loxodromically, in another it may act elliptically. In fact, the existence of a generalized loxodromic can be taken to be an alternate definition of acylindrical hyperbolicity.

Osin asks under what conditions an acylindrically hyperbolic group might have a *universal acylindrical action*, which is one in which all generalized loxodromics act as loxodromics. Abbott gives an example of a finitely generated group with no such action in [Abb16]

Before getting to our main theorem, we will recall the definition of $\text{CAT}(0)$. This can be found in [BH99].

Definition 2.5. Let X be a geodesic metric, and Δ a geodesic triangle. Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be a comparison triangle in $\mathbb{E}^2$, i.e. a geodesic triangle with the same side lengths. We say that X is $\text{CAT}(0)$ if, for all $x, y \in \Delta$ and all comparison points $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\Delta}$

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and this holds for all Δ .

Theorem 2.6. *Let G be a group, which is not virtually cyclic, acting geometrically on a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space X . Then G is acylindrically hyperbolic if and only if it contains an element g which acts as a rank one isometry on X . Furthermore, the set of generalized loxodromics is precisely the set of rank one elements.*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) This direction is clear as rank one elements are contracting, and contracting elements are generalized loxodromics by [Sis11].

($\Rightarrow$) If G is acylindrically hyperbolic, then it contains at least one generalized loxodromic. This is because we can take the action on a hyperbolic space guaranteed by the definition of acylindrical hyperbolicity, and knowing that it is devoid of parabolic elements, invoke the non-elementary condition on the action to verify at least one element must act as a loxodromic. Call this element g . We know by a result of Sisto that this element is Morse in G [Sis13]. An equivalence in the setting of $\text{CAT}(0)$ groups, proved in [CS15], says that a (quasi-)geodesic in a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space is contracting if and only if it is Morse and if and only if it is rank one. The geometric nature of our action, which says our space is quasi-isometric to our group, guarantees that because our group element is Morse, its axes are as well. Therefore our element g has axes which are rank one, i.e. it acts as a rank one isometry. $\square$

This equivalence allows us to restate the Rank Rigidity Conjecture for $\text{CAT}(0)$ groups, originally posited by Ballman and Buyalo in [BB08].

Conjecture 2.7. Rank Rigidity Conjecture

Let X be a locally compact geodesically complete $CAT(0)$ space and G a discrete group acting geometrically on X . If X is irreducible, then either

- X is a Euclidean building or higher rank symmetric space
- or
- G is Acylindrically Hyperbolic.

3 Braid Groups

Braid groups, which we will denote here by B_n , are often a go to example for groups that are intermediate between hyperbolic and flat. They are not hyperbolic (nor even relatively hyperbolic), indeed they have a number of flats. However, they do have free subgroups and many properties shared by groups which are non-positively curved, which leads to the question as to whether they are $CAT(0)$. To explore the question of how they relate to acylindrical hyperbolicity, we start with a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose G is acylindrically hyperbolic, and $H < G$ such that $[G : H] = n < \infty$. Then H is acylindrically hyperbolic.*

Proof. Let X be a hyperbolic space such that $G \curvearrowright X$ acylindrically. Consider the induced action $H \curvearrowright X$. We need to show this action is 1) acylindrical and 2) non-elementary.

Fix a value $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the values $R(\varepsilon), N(\varepsilon)$ such that for any x, y with $d(x, y) \geq R$

$$|\{g \in G \mid d(x, g.x) \leq \varepsilon, d(y, g.y) \leq \varepsilon\}| \leq N$$

Because

$$\{h \in H \mid d(x, h.x) \leq \varepsilon, d(y, h.y) \leq \varepsilon\} \subset \{g \in G \mid d(x, g.x) \leq \varepsilon, d(y, g.y) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

these same R, N verify the acylindricity of this action.

Next, we must show it's non-elementary. Because $G \curvearrowright X$ is nonelementary, there's at least 3 points in the limit set of the action Λ_G . To prove that the same is true of $H \curvearrowright X$, we claim that $\Lambda_H = \Lambda_G$. Obviously $\Lambda_H \subset \Lambda_G$. Because H is of finite index, for any $g \in G$, $g^k \in H$. Let $\gamma \in \Lambda_G$, and let $g \in G$ be such that $\gamma = g^\infty.x_0$. Then

$$\gamma = g^\infty.x_0 = (g^k)^\infty.x_0 = h^\infty.x_0 \in \Lambda_H$$

Therefore $\Lambda_G \subset \Lambda_H$ and our claim is proven. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 4$. The group $\overline{B_n} := B_n / Z(B_n)$ is acylindrically hyperbolic.*

Proof. We start by noting that besides exceptional cases, mapping class groups of punctured, closed surfaces act on their respective curve complexes, which are hyperbolic; furthermore, pseudo-Anosov elements act as loxodromics [MM00]. Later it is proven

that this action satisfies Weak Proper Discontinuity [BF09]. Finally, Osin's continued work assures us that this is equivalent to the acylindricity of the action.

In the Primer on Mapping Class Groups [FM12], it is exhibited that B_n is isomorphic to $\text{MCG}(D_n)$ where D_n is the n -punctured disk. However, this is realized when we consider a mapping class group up to *isotopy relative to the boundary*. If we use the alternate convention of considering MCGs merely up to isotopy, we find we also kill the central element in B_n . That is, we get:

$$\overline{B_n} = B_n/Z(B_n) \cong \text{MCG}(D_n)$$

It is in this setting that [MM00] and [BF09] work. Noting this, we see that, in this case if we kill elements which are isotopies twisting the boundaries, we are killing the center. That is, $Z(B_n) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle z \rangle$ where $z = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$ is trivial in the definition we wish to use.

However, we cannot directly apply the existing result, as the disk has boundary and is not closed. We must do something, therefore, if we wish to use our stated fact to our advantage. Consider the space

$$X := D_n \cup_\phi D_1 \quad \phi(\partial D_n) = \partial D_1$$

where ϕ is a degree 1 map. It is clear then that $X \cong S_{n+1} := S^2 \setminus \{x_0 \dots x_n\}$, letting x_0 be a distinguished puncture. Now we can see

$$\overline{B_n} = B_n/Z(B_n) \cong \text{MCG}(D_n) \cong \text{MCG}(X)_{\{x_0\}}$$

where the homeomorphism on the left acts on the D_n component of X , and fixes the other component, consequently fixing x_0 . This tells us that $\overline{B_n}$ can be exhibited as a finite index subgroup of a group known to be acylindrically hyperbolic, particularly, the one fixing a distinguished puncture. This formulation, and the fact that this is finite index, is stated in [FM12] on page 248. We can now apply our lemma. $\square$

We remark that the fact that all Artin-Tits groups of spherical type, otherwise known as *generalized braid groups*, were shown to be acylindrically hyperbolic, after modding by their center, in [CW16]. Now 2.6 and 3.2 can be combined to show:

Theorem 3.3. *Let B_n be the braid group with $n \geq 4$, and suppose that X is a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space on which B_n acts geometrically. Then Y is a rank one $\text{CAT}(0)$ space in the natural splitting of $X = Y \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, B_n does not act on a Euclidean Building.*

In fact this holds for all Artin-Tits group of spherical type, by applying 2.6 to the results of Calvez and Weist. To illustrate this theorem, we give an example from an explicit $\text{CAT}(0)$ complex. In [Bra00], Brady constructs a 2-complex that $B_4/Z(B_4)$ acts on made up of equilateral triangles which is $\text{CAT}(0)$ using the standard piecewise Euclidean metric. This is obtained by 'projecting' down the infinite cyclic factor corresponding to

$$Z(B_4) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \rangle$$

The link of any vertex in this projected complex looks as follows:




Figure 1: An Arbitrary Link

Importantly about this link, we note that the top right and bottom left vertices are identified, as well as the top left and bottom right. We recognize this as a 1-skeleton of a CW-complex homeomorphic to a Möbius strip. Because this complex is CAT(0), this link has a standard CAT(1) metric on it [BH99]. Because the corresponding triangles are equilateral, this metric assigns each edge in this link a length of $\frac{\pi}{3}$. We now examine the vertices labelled d^- and b^- , specifically noting that

$$d_{lk}(b^-, d^-) = \frac{4\pi}{3} > \pi$$

Because this angle is larger than π , that means that the path obtained in the space obtained by concatenating the paths from x_0 to those points in the link is a local geodesic. We note this path is also a portion of an axis for the group element bd^{-1} (note: one of these elements must have an inverse, as both vertices have a plus, which means that group element takes us *towards* x_0 .)

This group enjoys the property that all vertices have isometric links, so if we look at the link of the vertex $b.x_0$, we see the path the axis of bd^{-1} takes is in through b^+ and out through d^- , which again have distance greater than π , meaning our axis, which we will label $\gamma := \gamma_{bd^{-1}}$, is also a local geodesic at this vertex. Combining this with the fact that edges of triangles in our space are local geodesics, because the metric is CAT(0) and locally Euclidean, this tells us that this is a geodesic axis for the action of the group element bd^{-1} .

Indeed this axis is rank one, noting that in fact [BB08] actually proves the Rank Rigidity Conjecture in the case where the dimension of the complex is 2. Because X is two dimensional, if γ bounded a space isometric to $\mathbb{E}_+^2$, then any vertices of links it went through would have to have diameter at most π , as the link of that vertex would contain faces forming a half-disk portion of the copy of $\mathbb{E}_+^2$. This axis then being rank one tells us that our group element is a generalized loxodromic, by the above theorem.

So what does it look like? If we translate bd^{-1} into our standard generating set, we get the element

$$\sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3^{-1}$$

(The observant reader may realize this is not exactly what we get, but we can put it in this form because the elements σ_1, σ_3 commute).




Figure 2: The element bd^{-1}

We note a few properties about this braid immediately. First, it involves every strand. This is a requirement because any element that did not act on a puncture of the sphere would be a reducible element of the mapping class group, and hence not a pseudo-Anosov element, which are exactly the class of generalized loxodromics.

Secondly we also see that this element counts each generator zero times with signed multiplicity. This means that the abelianization of this element is trivial, i.e. that it is in the kernel of the map $B_4 \rightarrow B_4^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Thus it must not belong to the center $Z(B_4)$, so it indeed represents a non-trivial element of $B_4/Z(B_4)$.

4 $\text{Out}(W_n)$

Assume here that $n \geq 4$.

We will use the conventions in this section that

$$F_n = \langle x_i \mid \rangle, \quad W_n = \langle w_i \mid w_i^2 \rangle$$

As $w_i^{-1} = w_i$ we will suppress inverse notation when working in W_n

We will make use of the following result, so it is helpful to list it here. This decomposition is proved in [GPR12].

Theorem 4.1.

$$\text{Aut}(W_n) = \text{Aut}^0(W_n) \rtimes \Sigma_n = (W_n \rtimes \text{Out}^0(W_n)) \rtimes \Sigma_n$$

where the W_n factor is the whole of $\text{Inn}(W_n)$, Aut^0 is partial conjugations, Out^0 is the image of Aut^0 after quotienting out the inner automorphisms, and Σ_n is the full symmetric group on n letters, corresponding to permuting the generators.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the subgroup $W_n > G := \langle w_1 w_i | i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \rangle$. Then $G \cong F_{n-1}$*

Proof. It is clear that none of the $n - 1$ generators are redundant. Therefore, we are reduced to demonstrating there are no relations. We begin by noting that

$$(w_1 w_i)^{-1} = w_i w_1$$

Next, note that cancellation can only happen in the form $w_i w_i = 1$, as these are the only relators in W_n . This is only the case if we have $w_i w_1 w_1 w_j$, in which case this is equal to $w_i w_j$, which is irreducible and simply another expression for the element $x_{i-1} x_{j-1}$, or $w_1 w_j w_j w_1$, which translates to $x_{j-1} x_{j-1}^{-1}$, i.e. a free reduction in F_{n-1} . $\square$

This gives a natural map $\iota : \text{Aut}(W_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ as an automorphism of W_n will induce a map on the elements $w_1 w_i$ combined with the fact that this subgroup is characteristic. In fact, elements in the subgroup G as above are sometimes referred to as the ‘even subgroup’. Because all cancellation happens in pairs, it is well defined to speak of words of even length (including the empty word).

Using this characterization, it is easy to see that this subgroup must be characteristic. Given our decomposition of $\text{Aut}(W_n)$, we see generators come in the form of graph automorphisms and partial conjugations. In the former case, all w_i are sent to words of length one, and in the latter, w_i are sent to either words of length one or three. In either case, after possible cancellation in pairs, words of even length will remain of even length. In fact, this subgroup is precisely the words of even length, a property preserved under automorphisms of W_n , hence it being a characteristic subgroup.

Lemma 4.3. *This map is injective.*

Proof. Let $\phi : W_n \rightarrow W_n$ be an automorphism that fixes pointwise the set $\{w_1 w_i\}$. Our goal is then to show that it must be the case that

$$\phi = \text{id}_{\text{Aut}(W_n)}$$

We do this by considering ϕ on each generator. If ϕ fixes each generator, it is necessarily the identity.

- Suppose $\phi(w_1) = z \neq w_1$. Assume z is fully reduced. We know that $z \neq \text{id}$, as this is an automorphism. Because ϕ fixes $w_1 w_i$, it must be the case that for all i , $\phi(w_i) = z^{-1} w_1 w_i$. We invoke the fact that $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ is generated only by conjugations and permutations, following from the decomposition above, to observe that this word we map to must begin and end with the same letter after being reduced. If z^{-1} does not end with the letter w_1 (meaning z starts with the letter w_1), then z^{-1} must start with the letter w_i for each i . This is clearly a contradiction.

Therefore we assume z starts with the letter w_1 . The last letter of z will be the first letter of $z^{-1} w_1 w_i$, which again because $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ has no transvections, must be either w_i or empty. Because the former is impossible for all i simultaneously, it must be that it is empty. This tells us that $z = w_1$, which contradicts our assumption

- Now suppose $\phi(w_i) = z_i \neq w_i$ for some $i \neq 1$. Then because $\phi(w_1 w_i) = w_1 w_i$, we get that $\phi(w_1) = w_1 z_i^{-1}$. This must be true for every i , meaning that for all $i \neq 1$, $\phi(w_i) = z_i = z_j = \phi(w_j)$. This contradicts our assumption that ϕ is an automorphism, because the image of the generators is no longer a generating set.

□

Due to the injectivity of this map, we will label it

$$\iota : \text{Aut}(W_n) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$$

Because our goal is to say something about $\text{Out}(W_n)$, we look at what happens to elements of $\text{Inn}(W_n)$. While it is not quite true that they map into $\text{Out}(F_{n-1})$, we find this is *almost* the case.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $r \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ be defined by $r(x_i) = x_i^{-1}$. Then*

$$\iota(\text{Inn}(W_n)) \subset \text{Inn}(F_{n-1}) \rtimes \{r\}$$

Proof. Recall $x_j := \text{im}(w_1 w_{j+1})$. By abuse of notation, and because the map is injective, we will switch Denote $\mathcal{C}_{x_i}$ for conjugation by x_i in $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$.

We will consider the effect that an inner automorphism of W_n will have on $\{w_1 w_i\}$, recalling that this set of automorphisms is generated by elementary conjugations. These will come in two flavors, denoting an inner conjugation by $\mathcal{C}_j$ for conjugation of all generating elements by w_j

- $\mathcal{C}_1(w_1 w_j) = w_j w_1 = (w_1 w_j)^{-1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_1 = r$.
- $\mathcal{C}_i, i \neq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_i(w_1 w_j) &= w_i(w_1 w_j)w_i \\ &= w_i w_1(w_1 w_1 w_j w_1)w_i \\ &= w_i w_1(w_j w_1)w_i \\ &= w_i w_1(w_1 w_j)^{-1}w_i \\ &= (w_1 w_i)^{-1}(w_1 w_j)^{-1}(w_i w_1)^{-1} \\ &= r \circ \mathcal{C}_{x_1}(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally this gives us the following fact

$$\bar{\iota}(\text{Out}(W_n)) \subset \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})/(\text{Inn}(F_{n-1}) \rtimes \{r\}) \subset \text{Out}(F_{n-1})/\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle$$

where $R = \langle r | r^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

This relationship is summarized in the following diagram, where q_r represents the quotient map killing the normal closure of R :

In order to show that $\text{Out}(W_n)$ is acylindrically hyperbolic, we will be using the action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on its free factor complex. The complex is the poset realization of the set of proper, nontrivial free factors of the free group, up to conjugacy classes, ordered with respect to inclusion. An introduction to this space is available in [BF14], where it is also proven that this complex is δ -hyperbolic.

Form a subspace of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_R^{(0)}$, by taking all vertices which correspond to free factors which are left invariant by the action of r . Now label $\mathcal{F}_R \subset \mathcal{F}$ the subspace which is obtained by starting with $\mathcal{F}_R^{(0)}$, and then including any simplices all of whose vertices lie in $\mathcal{F}_R^{(0)}$. The goal will be to create an action of $\bar{\iota}(\text{Out}(W_n)) \cup \mathcal{F}_R$. We first need to know a little bit more about the image of $\text{Out}(W_n)$. While the following seems technical, it will be a key observation allowing us to define an action.




Figure 3: Diagramtic Relations of the Groups

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(W_n)$,*

$$[r, \iota(\phi)] \subset \text{Inn}(F_{n-1})$$

Proof. We break this into cases, depending on what kind of automorphism ϕ is. We need only consider the case where ϕ is a generator, because the inner automorphisms are normal. To demonstrate this for a normal subgroup $K \triangleleft G$, and an element $r \in G$, assume that $a, b \in G$ are such that $[r, a] \in K, [r, b] \in K$, we'd like to show $[r, ab] \in K$. Then

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
[r, ab] \in K & & \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
rabrb^{-1}a^{-1} \in K & & \\
\Updownarrow & & brb^{-1}r = k' \in K \\
rark'a^{-1} \in K & & \\
\Updownarrow & & \text{conjugate by } a^{-1} \text{ } K \text{ is normal} \\
a^{-1}rark' \in K & & \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
a^{-1}rar \in K & & \\
\Updownarrow & & \text{conjugate by } a \\
rara^{-1} \in K & &
\end{array}$$

and we note the last line is true by hypothesis. Now, let's look at the cases:

- ϕ is a graph automorphism (i.e. permutes the generators), so $\phi \in \Sigma_n$. This subgroup is generated by transpositions of w_i . We further break this into subcases.
 - $\phi = (w_i w_j), i \neq 1 \neq j$. Then it is easy to see $\iota(\phi)$ permutes x_{i-1}, x_{j-1} and that this map commutes with inverting every generator.
 - $\phi = (w_1 w_i)$. In this case, $\phi(w_1 w_j) = w_i w_j = w_i w_1 w_1 w_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $\phi(w_1 w_i) = w_i w_1$. Then $\iota(\phi)(x_j) = x_{i-1}^{-1} x_j$ for $j \neq i-1$ and $\iota(\phi)(x_{i-1}) = x_{i-1}^{-1}$. The rest of the proof that $\iota(\phi)$ commutes with r is left to the reader.
- ϕ is a partial conjugation. Once more, we are relegated to subcases
 - Neither the acting letter nor the acted-on letter is w_1 . Then for $i \neq 1 \neq j$, $\phi(w_j) = w_i w_j w_i$ and ϕ fixes all other generators. Then $\iota(\phi)$ fixes all generators of F_{n-1} except x_{j-1} , and $\iota(\phi)(x_{j-1}) = x_{i-1} x_{j-1}^{-1} x_{i-1}$. Note quickly that ϕ is order two in the codomain, so $\iota(\phi)$ is also order two, this is borne out by performing the calculation on the right hand side. Clearly $[r, \iota(\phi)](x_i) =$

x_i for $i \neq j - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota(\phi) \circ r \circ \iota(\phi) \circ r(x_{j-1}) &= \iota(\phi) \circ r \circ \iota(\phi)(x_{j-1}^{-1}) \\
&= \iota(\phi) \circ r(x_{i-1}^{-1} x_{j-1} x_{i-1}^{-1}) \\
&= \iota(\phi)(x_{i-1} x_{j-1}^{-1} x_{i-1}) \\
&= x_{j-1}
\end{aligned}$$

- The acting letter is w_1 . Then call the acted on letter w_i , so that $\phi(w_i) = w_1 w_i w_1$ and fixes other generators. In fact, in this case, $\iota(\phi)$ inverts x_{i-1} and fixes the other free generators. This automorphism commutes with inverting all generators.
- The acted on letter is w_1 , so $\phi(w_1) = w_i w_1 w_i$. Quick calculations show that $\iota(\phi)(x_j) = x_{i-1}^{-2} x_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota(\phi) \circ r \circ \iota(\phi) \circ r(x_j) &= \iota(\phi) \circ r \circ \iota(\phi)(x_j^{-1}) \\
&= \iota(\phi) \circ r(x_j^{-1} x_{i-1}^2) \\
&= \iota(\phi)(x_j x_{i-1}^{-2}) \\
&= x_{i-1}^{-2} x_j x_{i-1}^2 \\
&= x_j^{x_{i-1}^{-2}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

This allows us to make the observation that

$$R \triangleleft \iota \circ q(\text{Aut}(W_n)) < \text{Out}(F_{n-1})$$

or in other words

$$\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle \cap \iota \circ q(\text{Aut}(W_n)) = R$$

More to the point, this allows us to replace Figure 3 with Figure 4.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Aut}(W_n) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{im}(\iota) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Aut}(F_{n-1}) \\
\downarrow \bar{q} & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \\
\text{Out}(W_n) & & \text{im}(\iota \circ q) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Out}(F_{n-1}) \\
& \searrow \bar{\iota} & \downarrow q_r & & \\
& & \text{im}(\iota \circ q)/R & &
\end{array}$$

Figure 4: Involution Normality in the Image

Theorem 4.6. $\text{Out}(W_n)$ is acylindrically hyperbolic, for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. We will abuse notation throughout this proof, letting r represent both the automorphism in $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ and its image under the map q .

The first thing we will do is make a slight modification to our copy of the free factor complex. Unlike in uniquely geodesic spaces such as CAT(0) spaces, fixed point sets aren't as nice as we like, so we will add in a little extra structure.

Define $\hat{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \cup E$, where E consists of combinatorial edges of length δ between any two vertices which are at distance at most δ in $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$, where δ is the hyperbolicity constant for $\mathcal{F}$ guaranteed in [BF14]. We note that these two spaces are quasi-isometric by noting that $\mathcal{F}$ embeds into $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ in the natural way such that distances are not changed, and it is δ quasi-onto. The group $\text{Out}(F_n)$ will act on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ in the natural way, permuting the new combinatorial edges according to their endpoints.

Label $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ the fixed point set of $R = \langle r \rangle$ acting on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

Now define a natural action $\text{Out}(W_n) \curvearrowright \hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$. We start by noting there is a natural action of $M := \iota \circ q(\text{Aut}(W_n)) \curvearrowright \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, because it is a subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_{n-1})$. Now, to say what an element of $\psi \in \text{Out}(W_n)$ does, we look at its image, $\bar{\iota}(\psi) \in q_r(M)$. Using the structure of Figure 4, $\bar{\iota}(\psi) = gR$ for some element $g \in \text{Out}(F_{n-1})$. Now, for any $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$, we can define

$$\bar{\iota}(\psi).f = g.f$$

This is well defined, because no matter what element of R we pick (i.e. either id or r), they both have the same effect on f , i.e. $r.f = f$ by definition of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$.

The last thing we need to verify, is in fact that the image of $\text{Out}(W_n)$ actually fixes $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ set-wise, that is to say, doesn't take it off itself. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \text{im}(\iota \circ q)$ which encompasses any element coming from $\text{Out}(W_n)$, and let $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$. Note that from 4.5 that $[r, \mathcal{C}] = 1$ in $\text{Out}(F_{n-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r \mathcal{C}.f &= \mathcal{C} r.f \\ &= \mathcal{C}(r.f) \\ &= \mathcal{C}.f \end{aligned}$$

So the point f is moved to under $\mathcal{C}$ is indeed fixed by r , as $r \mathcal{C}.f = \mathcal{C}.f$.

Now in order to show that this action satisfies acylindrical hyperbolicity, we must show three things:

1. $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ is hyperbolic
2. This action satisfies acylindricity
3. This action is non-elementary

For the first task, we recall that hyperbolicity is a quasi-isometry invariant, so we know that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is hyperbolic. We proceed to show that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ is quasi-convex in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, making it also hyperbolic. To show quasi-convexity, let f_0, f_1 be in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ such that a geodesic between them leaves $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$. If such points don't exist, our subspace is directly convex. Otherwise, label x_0, x_1 the points (possibly the same as f_i) such that the chosen geodesic first leaves then re-enters $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$. Let $\lambda = [x_0, x_1]$, which by assumption only intersects $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ in the endpoints. If we take $r.\lambda$, we obtain another, distinct geodesic between x_0, x_1 . We assume that there is at least one vertex in this path, or else the length is bounded by 1 or δ , because of the bound on edge lengths and the fact that this path would be made up of a single edge. Label this vertex x_i . By the closeness of geodesics with the same endpoints in a hyperbolic space, the distance between x_i

and $g.x_i$ is bounded by δ . Therefore there is a combinatorial edge between them of length δ . Because r is order 2, it acts by inversion on this edge, and therefore fixes its midpoint. This means that every vertex on this geodesic is within distance at most $\frac{\delta}{2}$ of a fixed point, and so every point is within distance at most $\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ of a fixed point. So this (and therefore any) geodesic between points in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ lies in a $\frac{\delta+1}{2}$ neighborhood of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$.

For acylindricity, we begin by letting $R(\epsilon), N(\epsilon)$ be constants depending on ϵ that demonstrate the acylindricity of the action $\text{Out}(F_{n-1}) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}$. We note that these same constants will work to demonstrate acylindricity of $\text{im}(\iota \circ q)$ because the relevant set of elements will be a subset of the one we consider in the supergroup. Our claim is that these same constants will once again work for $\text{im}(\iota \circ q)/R$. We proceed by contradiction. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and $R(\epsilon)$ as above. Then suppose

$$|\{\phi \in \text{im}(\iota \circ q)/R \mid d(x, \phi.x) \leq \epsilon, d(y, \phi.y) \leq \epsilon\}| > N$$

Now consider the set of pre-images $\{q_r^{-1}(\phi)\}$ of these elements. Because q_r is surjective, this set has *no fewer* elements than the original. Furthermore, because our quotient is by R , which acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}_R$, these elements also have the same induced action. Therefore, this violates the assumption that there are fewer than $N(\epsilon)$ elements in $\text{im}(\iota \circ q)$ that satisfy this property. Finally, moving to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ does not change the property of acylindrical hyperbolicity because it does not change the distance of points in $\mathcal{F}$, and elements moving the new combinatorial edges close to themselves must bring those endpoints, which belong to $\mathcal{F}$, close to themselves.

Finally, we are tasked with showing this action is non elementary. First, it may be helpful to note some elements of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$, so we are assured it is nonempty.

$$\{[\langle x_i \rangle], [\langle x_i, x_j \rangle], [\langle x_j x_i x_j, x_m x_k x_m \rangle]\} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$$

(Note here this list is in no way exhaustive. In fact, any subgroup which exhibits a generating set of palindromic words belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$)

In order to demonstrate that the limit set is not elementary, we will show that there are two elements in the image of $\text{Aut}(W_n)$ that act as loxodromics on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Due to quasi-convexity, any element which acts as a loxodromic on $\mathcal{F}$ and fixes $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$ set-wise will also act as a loxodromic on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_R$, so establishing a loxodromic action on $\mathcal{F}$ is sufficient. To find these elements, we turn to [BF14], which tells us that the elements which act loxodromically are exactly those automorphisms that don't fix (or have any power which fixes) any individual free factor. It is clear why this is a necessary condition, but sufficiency is more intricate.

We'll fix some notation for partial conjugations in $\text{Aut}(W_n)$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j}(w_i) = w_j w_i w_j$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,j}(w_k) = w_k \quad k \neq i$$

and this element fixes all other generators. Note this element is order 2. To see what

effect this has on the free group

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{i,j}(x_{i-1}) &= \mathcal{P}_{i,j}(w_1 w_i) \\
&= w_1 w_j w_i w_j \\
&= w_1 w_j w_i (w_1 w_1) w_j \\
&= (w_1 w_j)(w_i w_1)(w_1 w_i) \\
&= x_{j-1} x_{i-1}^{-1} x_{j-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let's examine the product $\mathcal{P}_{i,j} \circ \mathcal{P}_{i,k}$ for $k \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{i,k}(\mathcal{P}_{i,j}(x_{i-1})) &= \mathcal{P}_{i,k}(x_{j-1} x_{i-1}^{-1} x_{j-1}) \\
&= x_{j-1} x_{k-1} x_{i-1} x_{k-1} x_{j-1} \quad \dagger
\end{aligned}$$

We see, further, that $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$ commutes with $\mathcal{P}_{k,n}$ exactly when $i \neq k$. For the following element, consider all addition performed in the indices to be done mod $n-1$. To find a desired loxodromic element, let

$$\mu = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathcal{P}_{i,i+1} \mathcal{P}_{i,i+2}$$

This is fully irreducible because it affects each generator, ranging on indices $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, sending each one to a word as in $\dagger$, which are not expressible as a conjugation.

We need one more loxodromic, which does not commute with μ , to finish the proof.

$$\tau = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathcal{P}_{i,i+3} \mathcal{P}_{i,i+2}$$

Defining this element, we see why at the beginning of the section we required that $n \geq 4$

□

It follows from 2.6 and 4.6 to get the following.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose $\text{Out}(W_n)$ acts geometrically on X a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space. Then X contains a rank one geodesic. In particular, $\text{Out}(W_n)$ cannot act geometrically on a Euclidean Building.*

References

- [Abb16] Carolyn R. Abbott. Not all finitely generated groups have universal acylindrical actions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(10):4151–4155, 2016.
- [BB08] Werner Ballmann and Sergei Buyalo. Periodic rank one geodesics in hadamard spaces. In *Geometric and probabilistic structures in dynamics*, volume 469 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 19–27. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [BF09] Mladen Bestvina and Koji Fujiwara. A characterization of higher rank symmetric spaces via bounded cohomology. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(1):11–40, 2009.
- [BF14] Mladen Bestvina and Mark Feighn. Corrigendum to “Hyperbolicity of the complex of free factors” [Adv. Math. 256 (2014) 104–155] [mr3177291]. *Adv. Math.*, 259:843, 2014.

- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [BM10] Tom Brady and Jon McCammond. Braids, posets and orthoschemes. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(4):2277–2314, 2010.
- [Bra00] Thomas Brady. Artin groups of finite type with three generators. *Michigan Math. J.*, 47(2):313–324, 2000.
- [CS15] Ruth Charney and Harold Sultan. Contracting boundaries of CAT(0) spaces. *J. Topol.*, 8(1):93–117, 2015.
- [Cun15] Charles Cunningham. On the automorphism groups of universal right-angled coxeter groups, 2015. Copyright - Database copyright ProQuest LLC; ProQuest does not claim copyright in the individual underlying works; Last updated - 2016-06-06.
- [CW16] Matthieu Calvex and Bert Wiest. Acylindrical hyperbolicity and artin-tits groups of spherical type. 2016.
- [Dav98] Michael W. Davis. Buildings are CAT(0). In *Geometry and cohomology in group theory (Durham, 1994)*, volume 252 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 108–123. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [GPR12] Mauricio Gutierrez, Adam Piggott, and Kim Ruane. On the automorphisms of a graph product of abelian groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 6(1):125–153, 2012.
- [HKS16] Thomas Haettel, Dawid Kielak, and Petra Schwer. The 6-strand braid group is CAT(0). *Geom. Dedicata*, 182:263–286, 2016.
- [MM00] H. A. Masur and Y. N. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves. II. Hierarchical structure. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(4):902–974, 2000.
- [Sis11] Alessandro Sisto. Contracting elements and random walks. 2011.
- [Sis13] Alessandro Sisto. Quasi-convexity of hyperbolically embedded subgroups. 2013.